77-3072/8

STAT

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/01/21: CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

2 June 1977

	MEMORANDOM FOR:	Mr. Paul Walsh A/DDI
	SUBJECT:	Frank Snepp's Request for a Classified DDI Document
	e de la companya de l	
	1. In respondent in ques	onse to the DCI's commitment to Snepp to review the tion for declassification,
ГАТ	and h	ave reviewed the attached intelligence memora dim
	mid Have Lecolline	HUBU LIBE IT DE CECLASSITIED and provided to Comm
	m you can see I	ION THE EA/IDO'S comments to me the NYO has me
	explained.	lassification with one exception, which they have
	explained.	
	2. I have o	discussed this with
	We feel the best	way to proceed is to have the DDI's FOIA
	mechanism physica	ally declassity the document in accordance
	with any proceding	res applicable to an FOIA request. It should then
ΓΑΤ	be provided to	for release to Snepp.
		•
		Assistant to the Deputy Director
		. Desire to the bedaty birector
	Attachment:	
	ER 77-3072/17	
	cc: A/DDO	

RDP80M0016**5A@816**00200006-

UNCLASSIFIED

STAT

NR

LI USF ONLY CONTRACTOR CONFIDENTIAL SECRET Approved For Release 200 10 972 A MA-REF 80 100 1600 1600 20000 6-0 SUBJECT: (Optional) FROM: EXTENSION NO. DD/O 77-4793 DATE EA/DDO 9203 25 May 1977 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and DATE building) OFFICER'S COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom INITIALS to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) RECEIVED FORWARDED 1. C/EA Frank Snepp has asked for release of a classified document concerning U.S. intelligence analyses on Vietnam which he apparently helped draft while he was still in this Agency. A copy of this document is attached. ADDI has referred this to his Vietnam hands and you will note that they 27 MAY 197 all recommend declassification and release of this document in full to Mr. Snepp. The ADDI has 31 MAY 1977 asked the DDO, however, whether we concur. particular point that is obviously relevant to us is whether this compromises or endangers any of our MAY 1970 sources. Would you please have someone review this document and forward a recommendation to Bill concerning its declassification and release. STAT cc: DDO Reg 12. EA/DDO 13. 14. 15 15. USE PRAPRIOVED FOR RELEASE 2004/01/21: CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

CONFIDENTIAL

USE ONLY .

| UNCLASSIFIED

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/01/21: CIA-RDP80M00465A001600200006-0

DRAFT

INTELLIGENCE MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Effectiveness of US Intelligence Analysis on Vietnam, December 1974 - April 1975

KEY POINTS

- American intelligence made a continuous, voluminous, and high quality input to US policy makers in the months immediately preceding the end of the war in Vietnam.
- In December 1974, a National Intelligence Estimate correctly assessed North Vietnamese strategy and plans for the first half of 1975.
 - This NIE concluded that in response to a major opportunity the communists might launch an all-out offensive to exploit the situation.
 - - The consequences of Thieu's ill-planned and poorly executed decision in mid-March to retreach militarily gave Manoi such an opportunity, and the North Vietnamese quickly exploited it.
 - The criticality of US military assistance, or lack thereof, was emphasized as a key factor conditioning both South Vietnam's will to resist and North Vietnam's willingness to escalate the level of fighting.
- The record is not without some deficiencies, but they were minor
 - Early on, the resiliency of the South Vietnamese forces was over estimated.
 - There was an initial tendency to underestimate the speed and success with which the communists would move to exploit the situation.
- -- Within three weeks of Thieu's mid-March decision, however, the intelligence community concluded that South Vietnam's fate was sealed and that within a short time North Vietnam would emerge victorious.
 - Beginning in early April 1975, the community concluded that the best the non-communists could obtain would be a negotiated surrender on

					_	•
SANITIZED	COPY		•		•	TS #206666-75 Copy No. /2

Content UNCLASSIFIED

STAT per ...

³ JunApproved For Release 2004/01/21 : CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/01/21: CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0 April 21, 1975, Daily Intelligence Publication:

- "The communists appear to be ruling out any consideration of a genuine negotiation of the conflict. At a press conference in Saigon Saturday, the Viet Cong spokesman carefully avoided mentioning negotiations with the present government or even a reconstituted one such as they had been demanding."
- "An equally tough line reportedly emerged from a secret briefing of senior communist officials in COSVN [in April] A reliable source stated that COSVN believed the 'whole thing' would be finished in a short time and that the communists would not agree to enter into negotiations, nor would they join any tripartite coalition government."

April 22, 1975, DCI Briefing for WSAG Meeting, "Vietnam"

- At this point the communists' public response to President.

 Thieu's resignation gives no encouragement to the prospects for negotiations.
- -- In short, the communists appear to be telling us that a totally new South Vietnamese government and a quick and total US withdrawal will be the price for surrender.
- For Saigon, there simply may not be enough time left for the round of political maneuvering that is already underway.

April 22, 1975, Daily Intelligence Publication:

- "The communists have ruled out any real compromise settlement, implying that nothing less than total surrender would be acceptable. Initial communist reaction to the Thieu resignation has continued this line. A Viet Cong spokesman in Paris has been quoted as stating that before negotiations could be considered, the US must "halt all interference in Vietnam" and that not only Thieu "but also his whole regime must be overthrown."
- -- "At this point, the very best a new South Vietnamese government might obtain is a negotiated surrender. Given the proximity of communist military forces to Saigon and the very real prospect of a rapid disintegration of South Vietnamese military will in the wake of Thieu's resignation time may already have run out for any such orderly transfer of power."

P5X1 Approved For Release 2004/01/21 : CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

. 4		ed For Reidase 200	4901724P:30	A-RDP80M	001
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENT	rial	SECRET	
	OFFIC	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP		
TO	NAME AN	O ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1	ADDI, Paul V. 7E44 Hgs	Walsh			
2					
3					
4					
5			!		
6					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE		-{
	APPROYAL	DISPATCH		KOITKUKS	1
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	DF	-
	CONCURRENCE	KOITAKROJKI	UTAKDIZ	<u> </u>	1
Fr Fr in tr Co wi de	ank Snepp's rediction it is ally gave if ansmittal note that a sanitized the control of the cont	ing herewith the quest which we to he is a copy of the tome. At Tab hand-delivered at about 1430 or the doc. The "sanitizer amendment of the design of the design amendment of the terms.	ook care come package B is a come to Deputy n Friday, ocument for	of one as you opy of a General together or	
Sá	nitized and un	ing DDA/IPS a cosanitized version HERE TO RETURN TO	ns of the	n the document.	
片				616177	
		/FIO 2E610		6/6/77	4
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDE:	TIAL	SECRET	0)

Use previous editions

STAT

STAT

⇔USGPO: 1976 — 202-953

V-1.20

Approved Fo	r Releas	-200AV8	1721A SIA	RDR80M	09185 <u>A00</u> 1600200006-0	Printer alleger of the Landson		
SUBJECT: (Optional)					5 P S Jacob S			
Material for re	lease to	Frank	Snenn					
FROM:			эперр	EXTENSION	NO.	-		
					·			
<u> </u>	2E6104	Hqs			DATE 3 June 1977	STA		
TO: (Officer designation, room num! building)	ber, and	D	ATE	OFFICER'S				
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each com	whom (.laemi		
1. Deputy General Cour	ise]				Forwarded have to			
					Forwarded here is a sanitized of the document £⊕ Frank Snepp	3		
2.				<u> </u>	lasked for in a conversation wit	-h		
					the DCI and which the DCI agree to have reviewed for declassifi	63 -		
3.					l tion. ADDI Paul Walsh asked me	to		
					deliver a copy to you prepared release to Frank Snepp. For yo	ひをVュ		
4.					information, the only change in	1		
					the document in connection with			
5.								
6.								
7.								
		i						
8.					6/3/// DDI/FIO			
			1			1		
۶.				***************************************		٠.		
						.		
0.								
						. [
1.						l		
2.						. [
3.						}		
4.								
					. •			
5.						l		

CONFIDENTIAL

INTERNAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

FORM 3~62 610 USE PREVIOUS

SECRET

Approved For H	elease 2004/01/2	1 : CIA-RDP80M0016	5 A901600 2000
IEMORANDUM FOR: DDCI		77-3072/19	
In respons Mr. Snepp reque declassified ar him. I discuss will tell Snepp	sted is in the d will soon be ed with	who	
	₩	 S	
			3
	Da	3 June 1977	

STAT

STAT

V-1,20)

Approved For Release 2004/01/21 : CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

Dou All

U-1.20

31 May 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Mr. Frank Snepp

Mr. Snepp called me during the evening of 30 May to inquire what, if anything, had transpired from his conversation with the Director. I told him that I was unaware of any specific action except for a new look at the issue he raised concerning

Snepp said that he had received a letter from which he was in the process of drafting a reply (he offered no details on this subject). Snepp closed the brief conversation by stating that he would be travelling quite a bit in the future and that he would be calling me periodically to maintain contact.

Chief, Africa Division, ORPA

STAT

STAT

Distribution:

Original: DDCI, Mr. Knoche

cc: Mr. Robert Gambino

Mr. Ted Shackley

Mr. led Shackley

Serge on the Sovether of a looking time the lector requested by get

Approved For Release 2004/01/21 : CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

SECRET

91654001600200006-0 HW: HK

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/01/21 : CIA-RDP80M00165A001600200006-0

STAT

Deputy General Counsel SUBJECT: Frank Warren Snepp III 1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication			
MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant to the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Deputy General Counsel		OGC 77-3481	•
Intelligence Deputy General Counsel 1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 2. 3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it		31 May 1977	
Intelligence Deputy General Counsel 1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 2. 3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	MEMORANDUM F	OR: Assistant to the Deputy Director of Central	
Deputy General Counsel 1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 2. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	•		ST
Deputy General Counsel 1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 2. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 2. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	FROM	Decidence of the control of the cont	
1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it		Deputy General Counsel	
1. I talked to Snepp by telephone this morning. He had been away for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	SUBJECT	: Frank Warren Snepp III	
for several days and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me that he would respond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested him to confirm in writing that he understood and would comply with the provisions of his Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication of his book. 3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	for several days that he would re him to confirm i	s and I had been unable to reach him. First he assured me espond immediately to my letter of 24 May 1977, which requested n writing that he understood and would comply with the	
3. In response to a further question from him, I told him that the declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it		s Secrecy Agreement in connection with the possible publication	25
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	or ms book.		
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
declassification review of the OCI memorandum on essentials of reporting from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	2		
from Saigon in the last two months was under way. He also noted that he thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	3. In res	sponse to a further question from film, I told film that the	
thought the Director had indicated he (the DCI) would be responsive to further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	declassification	the last two months was under way. He also noted that he	
further requests from Snepp for material which would help him with his book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	thought the Div	the last two months was under way. He also noted and the content had indicated be (the DCI) would be responsive to	
book. I told him that we would consider any such request and that he could direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	thought the Dir	to from Coope for meterial which would help him with his	
direct it to me. 4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	further request	is from Snepp for material which would help him with his	
4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			
4. The conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it			51
seems that for the moment we have taken the edge off his complaints.	4. The	conversation was completely amicable and friendly, and it	01
	seems that for	the moment we have taken the edge off his complaints.	
	•		